

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,573	02/08/2001	Etienne Regulier	017753-137	5075	
7590 10/08/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Norman H Stepno			WHITEMAN, BRIAN A		
Burns Doane Swerker & Mathis PO Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			1635		
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(S	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/762,573	REGULIER ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Brian Whiteman	1635
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state of the content of the con	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amor	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 would require	a new search and further considera	<u>ation</u> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,7,11-15,19,20,24-32.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-10,16-18	<u>and 21</u> .	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)□ approved or b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , ,	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' argument is moot because the amendment was not entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: This application contains claims 8-10, 16-18 and 21 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8 filed on 11/20/02. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01. Applicants have not cancelled the claims or taken other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144)...

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

STAD. Priche